| 1 2 | FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION | | |
|----------|---|--|--|
| 3 | 999 E Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20463 | | |
| 4 | ************************************** | | |
| 5 | FIRST GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT | | |
| 6 7 | | PRE-MUR: 500 | |
| 8 | · | DATE ACTIVATED: May 20, 2010 | |
| . 9 | | | |
| 10 | | EXPIRATION OF SOL: June 12, 2012 | |
| 11. | | (earliest) / April 25, 2013 (latest) | |
| 12 | COVIDAN | S - S | |
| 12 14 | SOURCE: | Sua Sponte | |
| 15 | RESPONDENT: | Evan H. Simpper | |
| 16 | | and the sample. | |
| 17 | RELEVANT STATUTES | 2 U.S.C. § 441f | |
| 18 | AND REGULATIONS: | 2 U.S.C. § 441b(a) | |
| 19 | | 11 C.F.R. § 110.4(b)(1)(i), (ii) and (iii) | |
| 20 | | The state of the s | |
| 21 22 | INTERNAL REPORTS CHECKED: | Disclosure Reports | |
| 23 | FEDERAL AGENCIES CHECKED: | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u> | | |
| 26 | Anchin, Block & Anchin LLP, an accounti | ng and business management firm | |
| 27 | headquartered in New York, filed a sua sponte submission disclosing \$62,100 in aggregate | | |
| 29 | reimbursed contributions from the accounts of its former client, novelist Patricia Cernwell. | | |
| 29 | According to the submission, then-partner Evan H. Snapper, who had authority to withdraw | | |
| 36 | funds from, and write cheeks on, Ms. Cornwell's personal and corporate bank accounts as part of | | |
| 31 | Anchin's management of her funds, reimbursed the contributions at the direction of Ms. | | |
| 32 | Cornwell, including contributions made by Mr. Snapper himself. It appears that Anchin's | | |
| 33 | submission was largely based on information furnished to the firm by Mr. Snapper, and | | |
| 34 | purportedly based on his personal knowledge. Anchin and Mr. Snapper are represented by | | |
| 35 | separate counsel. | | |

First General Counsel's Report Pre-MUR 500 (Evan H. Snapper) Page 2 of 18

_

First General Counsel's Report Pre-MUR 500 (Evan H. Snapper) Page 3 of 18

1 2

As discussed in more detail below, we recommend that the Commission find reason to believe that Evan H. Snapper knowingly and willfully violated 2 U.S.C. § 441f by knowingly assisting in making contributions in the name of another and by knowingly permitting his name to be used to effect a contribution in the name of another, and enter into pre-probable cause conciliation with him.

иĽ

First General Counsel's Report Pre-MUR 508 (Evan H. Snapper) Page 4 of 18

1 II. FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

A. Factual Background

3 Between 2004 and 2009, Anchin provided various business management services to Ms. 4 Cornwell. Anchin Submission, 4/6/10, at 2. During this time, Mr. Snapper was a partner in 5 Anchin's Business Management Unit and was in charge of Ms. Cornwell's client services. 1 Id. 6 There was no contract executed between Auchin and Ms. Cornwell spelling out the terms and 7 conditions of Anchin's management obligations. Anchin Submission, 7/9/ID, at 2. However, 8 according to Ms. Cornwell, she gave Anchin power of attorney to conduct the entirety of her 9 financial affairs. Cornwell Response, at 3. Her earnings were sent directly to Anchin, which 10 deposited those funds into various bank accounts against which the firm wrote checks and wired 11 funds to pay her bills. Id. Ms. Cornwell states that Anchin did not provide her with monthly or 12 periodic balance sheets, cash flow reports or other reports regarding her finances. Id. Based on Anchin's submission, however, it appears that it provided at least one update in the form of a 13 14 schedule containing all her political and charitable contributions in 2007 with comparison 15 information for 2008. Anchin Submission, 9/23/10, at ABA/FEC 544-549. Other 16 documentation provided in the submission suggests that Anchin may have provided her with 17 · copies of each flow reports for her Anchin necounts for the months of March, April and September 2008. Anchin Submission, 9/23/10, at ABA/FEC 631-634, 637-640. Ms. Coruwell 18 19 terminated her business relationship with Anchin effective August 31, 2009. Cornwell

Previously, Ms. Cernwell's finances were managed by Yohalers Gillman & Company LLP where Mr. Snapper was a partner. When Yohalem Gillman combined with Anchin, Ms. Cornwell moved her accounts to Anchin. Cornwell Response, at 2-3. Ira Yohalem became the head of the Business Management Unit at Anchin, and Mr. Snapper reported to him. Anchin Submission, 7/9/10, at 2.

23

| First General | Counsel's | Report |
|---------------|-----------|----------|
| Pre-MUR 500 | Evan H. | Snapper) |
| Page 5 of 18 | - | |

Response, at Exhibit 18, Cornwell Entertainment, Inc. et al v. Anchin, Block & Anchin LLP et 1 2 al., at ¶ 23. 3 This matter arose in the context of a private lawsuit filed by Ms. Cornwell against Anchin and Mr. Snapper in the fall of 2009 in the U.S. District Court for the District of Massachusetts. 4 5 seeking a full accounting of all financial activity handled by Anchin on her behalf and restitution for, among other things, alleged migmanagoracut and conversion of her personal and comporate 6 7 funds. Cornwell Entertainment, Inc. et al v. Anchin, Block & Anchin LLP et al., Civil Action 8 No. 09-11708. In the process of the firm preparing an answer to the complaint, Mr. Snapper, for 9 the first time, informed Anchin's Executive Committee of the reimbursements that are the 10 subject of the sua sponte. . 11 12 , she amended the complaint in her lawsuit to include specific allegations that Anchin 13 mishandled her political contributions, misinformed her regarding requirements relating to 14 political contributions, and improperly reimbursed its own employees for contributions from her 15 corporate and personal accounts without her knowledge. Cornwell's Response, at Exhibit 18, 16 Curnwell Entertainment, Inc., et al., at ¶ 35(i). Anchin's answer in the civil suit denies these allegations. Anchin Submission, 7/9/10, at ABA/FEC 149 (Defendant Anchin's Answer to Third 17 Amended Complaint ¶ 35 in Cornwell Entertainment, Inc., at al.). Mr. Snapper resigned from 18 19 his position at Anchin effective December 1, 2010. 1. Reimbursed Contributions to Jim Gilmore Campaigns 20 "The first contributions at issue were made to Jim Gilmore's 2008 Presidential campaign. 21

According to Ms. Cornwell, Mr. Gilmore was a personal friend. Cornwell Response, at 8.

However, she did not personally want to be on record contributing to Mr. Gilmore's Presidential

First General Counsel's Report Pre-MUR 500 (Evan H. Snapper) Page 6 of 18

- 1 campaign because she was not aligned with the same political party as Gilmore; she informed
- 2 Mr. Snapper that she would encourage others to support his campaign. Id. at 9; FBFP, at ¶ 4.
- In June 2007, Mr. Snapper and his wife made a total of \$4,600 in contributions (\$2,300
- 4 each) to Jim Gilmore's 2008 Presidential campaign. Anchin Submission, 4/6/10, at 5 and
- 5 Exhibit 2; FBFP, at ¶ 5. In order to reimburse the contributions, on June 12, 2007, Mr. Snapper
- 6 authorized a \$5,000 payment to himself from Ms. Cornwell's Anchin account. Id. at Exhibit 2
- 7 and ABA/FEC 120; FBFP, at ¶ 6. He recorded the reimbursement in Anchin's records as a
- 8 bat mitzvah gift from Ms. Comwell to his daughters Id. at 5.
- Anchin alleges that Ms. Cornwell directed the reimbursements of the Snappers'
- 10 contributions to Jim Gilmore's campaigns because Gilmore was her personal friend and she
- 11 wanted to support his campaign, but did not want to be identified with it. Id., at 4; see also
- 12 Cornwell Response, at 9. However, the submission does not provide any specific information as
- to how Ms. Cornwell directed the reimbursements and how Mr. Snapper came to understand that
- 14 Ms. Cornwell was directing him to make the reimbursements. The FBFP merely states that in or
- 15 about June 2007, Ms. Cornwell, who is referred to as "Person A," asked Mr. Snapper to make a
- 16 contribution to the Jim Gilmore for President Committee. FBFP, at ¶ 4.
- 17 In addition, the submission does not address the fact that the reimburgement check,
- 18 \$5,000, was greater than the \$4,600 the Snappers contributed to the Gibnore Presidential
- 19 campaign. Ms. Cornwell states that she never instructed Mr. Snapper to donate to Gilmore's
- 20 Presidential campaign, nor did she ever authorize him to reimburse himself or his wife from her
- 21 ¹⁷²funds. Cornwell Response, at 9.
- 22 In November 2007, Mr. Snapper and his wife also made a total of \$9,200 in contributions

13. jf

23 (\$4,600 each) to the primary and general elections for Gilmore's 2008 U.S. Senate campaign.

First General Counsel's Report Pre-MUR 500 (Evan H. Snapper) Page 7 of 18

- 1 Anchin Submission, 4/6/10, at Exhibit 2; FBFP, at ¶ 9. The donor cards for the Snappers were
- 2 signed by Evan Snapper with instructions to charge the contributions to his credit card. Anchin
- 3 Submission, 9/23/10, at ABA/FEC 581-582. In December 2007, Mr. Snapper authorized
- 4 reimbursements for these contributions by direct payments made from Ms. Cornwell's bank
- 5 account to Mr. Snapper's credit card. Anchin Submission, 4/6/10, at Exhibit 2, and ABA/FEC
- 6 133, 134, 136-138; FBPP, at ¶ 10.
- 7 As with the contributions to Gilmore's presidential campaign, Anchin contends that Ms.
- 8 Cornwell timected Mr. Snapper to reimburse his and his wife's contributions to the Gilmore
- 9 Senate campaign. Anchin Submission, 4/6/10, at 5. Anchin did not provide any documentation
- in support of its position that Ms. Cornwell authorized the reimbursements. Ms. Cornwell denies
- authorizing the Snappers to be reimbursed from her accounts, stating that she merely asked
- 12 Anchin to suggest to others that they support Gilmore. Cornwell Response, at 9. Ms. Cornwell
- 13 relies on an email exchange between herself and Mr. Snapper as evidence of her position that she
- 14 did not authorize the reimbursements.
- Specifically, in a November 19, 2007 email from Ms. Cornwell to Mr. Snapper and
- . 16 Laurie Fasinski (a director in Anchin's Business Management Unit and a subordinate to Mr.
- 17 Snapper), she forwards Gilmore's U.S. Senate campaign announcement and states. "I will want
- 18 to contribute to this. He is a good man and I don't mind supporting him for somete for VA just
- 19 didn't want to get involved in the presidential race, as I'm for Hillary. So can you make the first
- 20 contribution?" Cornwell Response, at PC/FEC 0050. Ms. Fasinski replied the same day in an
- .º 21 email: "Ms. C., I will orchestrate." Anchin Submission, 9/23/10, at ABA/FEC 536. On
 - 22 November 26, 2007, a check in the amount of \$4,600 was drafted on Ms. Cornwell's Anchin
 - 23 account made payable to Jim Gilmore for Senate, and a donor card to the committee was

First General Counsel's Report Pre-MUR 500 (Evan H. Snapper) Page 8 of 18

- 1 prepared in her name, though it does not bear any signature. Anchin Submission, 9/23/10, at
- 2 ABA/FEC 605 and 607.
- 3 Ms. Cornwell states, however, that she subsequently changed her mind about directly
- 4 contributing to Mr. Gilmore's Senate campaign. Cornwell Response, at 9-10 and PC/FEC 0050.
- 5 On November 27, 2007, Ms. Cornwell emailed Laurie Fasinski and asked to have Mr. Snapper
- 6 "handle this situation (Senate contribution) the same way he handled the presidential one. Staci
- 7 and I can't have our names attached to this, but it's fine to suggest others support him."
- 8 Cornwell Response, at PC/FEC 0057-58. On the same day, Mr. Snapper replied to this email
- 9 saying he would handle it. Id. Anchin produced a paper copy of Ms. Cornwell's November 27,
- 10 2007 email to Ms. Fasinski containing handwriting by Ms. Fasinski stating: "Did [E]van take
- care of and by Mr. Snapper stating: "Done." Anchin Submission, 9/23/10, at ABA/FEC 177. It
- 12 appears that a stop payment was executed on the contribution check from Ms. Cornwell to Jim
- 13 Gilmore for Senate. Anchin Submission, 9/23/10, at ABA/FEC 606. On the afternoon of
- November 27, 2007, the Snappers made their contributions to the Gilmore for Senate committee.
- 15 As previously noted, Mr. Snapper charged the contributions to his credit card, and later paid his
- 16 credit and bill with a check from Ms. Connwell's account at Anchin. Anchin Submission.
- 17 9/23/10, at ABA/FEC 583-584. The stub attached to the reimburgement check states that it is for
- 18 a contribution to Jim Gilmore.
- There is no additional information in the submission or the response that clarifies Mr.
- 20 Snapper's and Ms. Cornwell's understandings of the Gilmore transactions. The FBFP states that,
- 21 with respect to this contribution, Ms. Cornwell once again did not make an individual
- 22 contribution to the Gilmore for Senate Committee but instead asked Mr. Snapper to make a
- 23 contribution to that campaign. FBFP, at ¶ 8.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

2. Reimbursed Contributions to Hillary Clinton for President

2 According to Anchin's submission, at Ms. Cornwell's direction, Mr. Snapper authorized

- 3 the reimbursement of \$48,300 in contributions to Hillary Clinton's 2008 Presidential campaign.
- 4 The conduits for these contributions include Ms. Cornwell's relatives and friends, Anchin
- 5 employees and their spouses, other Anchin associates, and Mr. Snapper himself.² Anchin
- 6 Submission, 4/6/10, at Exhibit 1.

The submission and response provide contradictory information as to how these reimbursements originated. Anchin maintains that Ms. Comwell directed the reimbursements of the contributions to Hillary Clinton's Presidential campaign so that she could receive credit for raising \$50,000 and become a "Chair" for the Elton John fundraising event. Anchin Submission, 4/6/10, at 3.3 In contrast, Ms. Cornwell maintains that she was unaware that she and her partner would be listed as co-chairs of the event, and that they did not attend the event, due to a scheduling conflict. Cornwell Response, at 7.

During the morning of March 17, 2008, Mr. Snapper forwarded to Ms. Cornwell an invitation addressed to Staci Gruber, Ms. Cornwell's partner, to an April 9, 2008 Elton John concert to support the Hillary Clinton Presidential campaign, even though he had previously informed Ms. Cornwell that she had reached the maximum level of contributions to the Clinton campaign; Ms. Cornwell states that she did not solicit this action. Cornwell Response, at 5 and

The submission names the conduits, includes the amount of their contributions, and also attaches copies of donor cards and reimbursement vehicles, such as checks and credit card records. The donor cards, signed by the conduits, including Mr. Snapper, contain statements regarding the individual contribution limits for the 2008 gozanus election, that contributions must be made from a contributor's personal funds, and that individuals are strictly prohibited from reimbursing another person for making a contribution. Anchin Submission, 4/6/10, at ABA/FEC 86-118.

The \$2,300 contribution from Michele Snapper to the Clinton assepaign was not reimbursed, and that amount has not been included in the total \$48,300 amount reimbursed. Anchin Submission, 4/6/18, at Exhibit!, footnote 2.

First General Counsel's Report Pre-MUR 500 (Evan H. Snapper) Page 10 of 18

at PC/FEC 0001-0012. Later that day, Ms. Cornwell sent an email to Mr. Snapper expressing 1 disappointment that she had not received an invitation to the concert fundraising event, because 2 3 she had donated to Hillary Clinton, Anchin Submission, 9/23/10, at 235 and 238. Thereafter, on a date unknown. Ms. Cornwell suggested to Mr. Snapper that she purchase a large block of 4 tickets to the Elton John concert and donate them back to the campaign to be resold, but Mr. 5 Snappor informed her that doing so was prohibited by federal campaign regulations. Cornwell 6 Response, at 6; FRFP, at ¶ 13-14. Around this time, according to Ms. Cornwell, Ms. Fasinski 7 "informed Ms. Cornwell that Archin could arrange for others to attend the Elton John concert. 8 9 with the tickets being ultimately paid for by Ms. Cornwell." Id. Ms. Cornwell states that Ms. 10 Fasinski informed her that Anchin had done likewise for other clients on previous occasions. Id. 11 Ms. Cornwell alleges that Mr. Snapper then suggested that if Ms. Cornwell were to identify 12 members of her family and friends who might want to attend the concert, Anchin could obtain 13 tickets for them. Id. Mr. Snapper concedes in the FBFP that he told Ms. Cornwell she could find other people to buy the tickets, and indicates that Cornwell suggested that she simply 14 reimburse them for the tickets. FBPP, at ¶ 14. Subsequently, Ms. Fasinski informed Ms. 15 Cornwell via entails dated March 20 and 31, 2008 that she and Mr. Snapper were working on 16 obtaining tickets to the concert for Ms. Cornwell's friends. Id. at PC/FEC 0024, 0026-27. 17 Anchin denies that Mz. Fasinzki told Ms. Coznwell that Anchin had reimbursed contributions for 18 19 other clients in the past, or that it is aware of any previous occasions where Anchin clients reimbursed conduit contributions through their Anchin accounts. Anchin Submission, 7/9/10, at 20 4; see also Anchin Submission, 4/6/10 7. Ultimately, Mr. Snapper and others secured twenty-21 two concert tickets, at a cost of \$2,300 each, for Ms. Cornwell's friends and family members, as 22

well as for Anchin personnel, and some of their spouses, all but one of which Mr. Snapper

First General Counsel's Report Pre-MUR 500 (Evan H. Snapper) Page 11 of 18

- 1 reimbursed from Ms. Cornwell's accounts at Anchin. Anchin Submission, 4/16/10, at Exhibit 1;
- 2 FBFP, at ¶¶ 15- 17.
- While Ms. Cornwell admits that she knew she was purchasing tickets for her family and
- 4 friends, she maintains that she was unaware that Mr. Snapper also was recruiting Anchin.
- 5 personnel to attend the concert at her expense. And at 7. However, Anchin produced
- 6 documentation suggesting Ms. Cernwell was aware that tickets she purchased would be used by
- 7 others in addition to her friends and family. On April 7, 2008, Ms. Fasinaki and Ms. Cornwell
- 8 had an email exchange in which Mr. Fazinski asked Ms. Cornwell how she wanted to handle the
- 9 extra concert tickets. Ms. Cornwell replied that Ms. Fasinski should offer the extra tickets to Ms.
- 10 Cornwell's friends first, but not to "take back those you've promised to yourselves and others"
- and "the rest you and Evan can use, as planned." Anchin Submission, 9/23/10, at ABA/FEC
- 12 258. Ms. Fasinski responded, "...thank you again for giving me and Evan the opportunity to
- 13 go." Id. at ABA/FEC 268. Mr. Snapper, Ms. Fasinski, and Ira Yohalem (partner and head of
- 14 Anchin's Business Management Unit) also sent emails to Ms. Cornwell thanking her for their
- 15 tickets after they attended the concert. Anchin Submission 9/23/10, at ABA/FEC 295, 301 and
- 16 306.
- 17 Mr. Snapper effectuated all the mimbursements for the concert tickets from Ms.
- 18 Cornwell's accounts, through cash, payments by check to individuals, or payments directly to the
- 19 individuals' credit card companies. Mr. Snapper provided the twenty individuals (in addition to
- 20 his wife and himself) with contribution forms, provided instructions as to how they should be
- 21 filled out, and forwarded them to the Hillary Clinton for President Committee. FBFP, at ¶ 17.
- 22 Mr. Snapper recorded some of the reimbursements to the conduits in Anchin's records as

111

Among others, the Anchin conduits included Mr. Snapper, Partner Ira Yohalem, and the Director of Business Management Unit, Laurie Fasinski.

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

First General Counsel's Report Pre-MUR 500 (Evan H. Snapper) Page 12 of 18

1 expenses, or as cash payments, credit card payments, or reimbursements, without specifying that

2 they were a reimbursement for political contributions. For example, in the case of the

3 contributions by Mr. Yohalem, an Anchin partner, and his wife, Shirley, the reimbursement is

4 described on the accounts payable invoice as "design services." Anchin Submission, 4/6/10, at

5 ABA/FEC at 124. Although the records initially reflected the reimbursement to another conduit

6 as "Elton John Tickets," they were later altered only to reflect "reimbursement." Id. at

7 ABA/FEC 121-123. Cash flow reports Auchin prepared for Ms. Cornwell for March and April

2008 reflect checks, not only to some of her friends and family member conduits, but also the

reimbursement to Shirley Yohalem, as well as a payment to MasterCard for \$4,500. Anchin

Submission, 9/23/10, at ABA/FEC 639-640, 637-638. The corresponding check stub indicates

that the MasterCard payment was to another conduit who was a spouse of an Anchin Certified

12 Public Accountant. Id. at ABA/FEC 694.

B. Legal Analysis

The Act provides that "no person shall make a contribution in the name of another person or knowingly permit his name to be used to effect such a contribution." 2 U.S.C. § 441f. The prohibition extends to knowingly helping or assisting any person in making a contribution in the name of another. 11 C.F.R. § 110.4(b)(1)(iii).

Based on the available information, it appears that Mr. Snapper violated 2 U.S.C. § 441f by knowingly permitting his name to be used to make contributions in the name of another, and by knowingly assisting others to make contributions in the name of another. 2 U.S.C. § 441f; 11 C.F.R. § 110.4(b)(1)(i) ^[26](iii). Mr. Snapper admits in the FBFP that he permitted his name to be 'a count of the Gilmore campaigns, and then reimbursed his and his wife's contributions through disbursements from Ms. Cornwell's accounts. Further, after

7.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

First General Counsel's Report Pre-MUR 500 (Evan H. Snapper) Page 13 of 18

- 1 confirming to Ms. Cornwell that she had "maxed out" her permissible contribution limits, he
- 2 assisted in making \$48,300 in contributions to the Hillary Clinton for President committee by
- 3 making his own contribution, helping or recruiting others to buy tickets for the concert
- 4 fundraising event, and then authorizing the reimbursement of those contributions through
- 5 disbursements from Ms. Cornwell's accounts.

There is sufficient information at this stage of the proceeding to establish that the violation was knowing and willful. To establish a knowing and willful violation, there must be knowledge that one is violating the law. See FEC v. John A. Dramesi for Cungress Comm., 640 F. Supp. 985, 987 (D.N.J. 1986). A knowing and willful violation may be established "by proof that the defendant acted deliberately and with knowledge that the representation was false." U.S. v. Hopkins, 916 F.2d 207, 214 (5th Cir. 1990). A knowing and willful violation may be inferred "from the defendants' elaborate scheme for disguising" their actions. See id. at 214-15.5

Mr. Snapper admits in the FBFP that he reimbursed campaign contributions he and his wife made to the Jim Gilmore for President and the Jim Gilmore for Senate committees. FBFP, at ¶¶ 6 and 10. He also admits that he knew at the time that reimbursing campaign contributions violated the Act. Id. He knew the contribution limitations of the Act, as he both informed Ms. Comwell that she was "maked out" to the Hillary Clinton campaign, and that her plan to purchase tickets and donate them back to the campaign to be resold vicketed federal campaign laws. FBFP, at ¶¶ 13-14; Cornwell Response, at 5. In addition, he signed donor cards containing statements regarding the individual contribution limits, that contributions must be

isa) (sin

In a number of matters involving Section 441f violations, the Commission has found reason to believe or probable cause to believe that the conduct of the individuals reimbursing the contributors was knowing and willful. See, e.g., MUR 5504 (Karoly Law Offices), MUR 5955 (Jose Valdez), MUR 5666 (MZM, Inc./Richard A. Burlung), MUR 5903 (PBS&J Corp.), MUR 5818 (Fieger, Kenney & Johnson), MUR 5366 (Tab Turner & Associates), and MUR 5092 (Michael Lazaroff).

First General Counsel's Report Pre-MUR 500 (Evan H. Snapper) Page 14 of 18

- 1 made from a contributor's personal funds, and that individuals are strictly prohibited from
- 2 reimbursing another person for making a contribution. Anchin Submission, 4/6/10, at ABA/FEC
- 3 113 and 9/23/10, at ABA/FEC 755; see, e.g., MUR 5871 (Noe) Factual and Legal Analyses to
- 4 Thomas W. Noe, to Kimberly Mermis, and to Connie Moorman (knowing and willful violations
- 5 supported by signed donor authorization cards).
- 6 Mr. Snapper did not record in Anchin's records that all of the payments to the conduits 7 were reimbursements for political contributions and intentionally falsifled some accounting 8 records to reflect that some of the reimbursements were for expenses. As he admits in the FRFP, 9 he caused some of the reimbursements to be made in amounts that were not multiples of \$2,300, 10 and caused some of the reimbursement checks and corresponding entries in Ms. Cornwell's 11 financial account ledgers to reflect that the payments were for purposes other than 12 . reimbursements for political contributions. FBFP, at ¶ 20. For example, he recorded the 13 reimbursement for his and his wife's contributions to Gilmore's 2008 Presidential campaign as a 14 bat mitzvah gift to his daughter. FBFP, at ¶ 6; Anchin Submission, 4/16/10, at ABA/FEC 120. 15 In addition, he recorded the Yohalem's reimbursements for the tickets to the Clinton fundraiser as "design services." Anchin Submission, 4/6/10, at ABA/FEC at 124. Mr. Snapper admits in 18 17 the FBFP that he took these steps on his own initiative to conceal the true puspose of the payments as reimbursements for political contributions. FBFP, at ¶ 20. See MUR 5849 18 (Cannon) Factual and Legal Analysis to Kathleen Cannon (Commission found reason to believe
- 19
- 20 corporate officer knowingly and willfully violated 2 U.S.C. § 441f where evidence showed that
- 21 she; authorized the reimbursements of political contributions with bank funds and attempted to
- 22 disguise the conduit reimbursements by directing that they be categorized in bank accounting
- 23 records as various types of expenses).

| | First General Counsel's Report Pre-MUR 500 (Evan H. Snapper) Page 15 of 18 |
|------------|---|
| 1 | |
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | • |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | Therefore, we recommend that the Commission find reason to |
| 8 | believe Evan H. Snapper knowingly and willfully violated 2 U.S.C. § 441f, and enter into pre- |
| 9 | probable cause conciliation with him. |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | • |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 21.3 | • |
| 24.3 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |

_

ਮਿੱਟਾਂ 21

First General Counsel's Report Pre-MUR 500 (Evan H. Snapper) Page 17 of 18

18 IV. <u>RECOMMENDATIONS</u>

- 19 1. Open a MUR. 28
- 21 2. Find reason to believe that Evan H. Snapper knowingly and willfully violated
 22 2 2 U.S.C. § 441f and enter into conciliation with him prior to a finding of probable
 23 cause to believe.
- 3. **25**
 - 4. Approve the attached Factual and Legal Analysis.

First General Counsel's Report Pre-MUR 500 (Evan H. Snapper) Page 18 of 18

| 1 2 | 5. Approve the appropriate | e letter. |
|--------------|----------------------------|---|
| 3 4 5 | 12-20 - 2010 Date | Christopher Hughey |
| 6 7 8 | | Acting General Counse |
| 8 9 10 | | Kathleen M. Guith |
| 11 | | Acting Associate General Counsel |
| 12 13 | | for Enforcement |
| 14 | | CIII KIL |
| 15 | | Swarl Laberry Ko- |
| 16 | | Susan L. Lebeaux |
| 17 | | Acting Deputy Associate General Counsel |
| 18 19 | | 01 7. 0 10 410 4 |
| 20 | | Christine Couloghe |
| 21 | | Christine C. Gallagher |
| 22 | | Attorney |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |